IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES COSCIA CIVIL ACTION

No. 14-3259 v.

PENNSYLVANIA BOARD OF

PROBATION AND PAROLE, et al.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of October, 2015, upon careful and independent consideration of Petitioner James Coscia's pro se Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and Respondents' response thereto, and after de novo review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Henry S. Perkin, to which no objections have been filed. it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation (Document 11) is APPROVED and ADOPTED;
- 2. Coscia's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Document 3) is DENIED with prejudice and DISMISSED without an evidentiary hearing;
 - 3. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability; and
 - 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case CLOSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez Juan R. Sánchez, J.

¹ The Report and Recommendation was sent to all parties of record on July 28, 2015, together with a Notice from the Clerk of Court advising the parties of their obligation to file any objections within 14 days after service of the Notice. See Local R. Civ. P. 72.1 IV(b) ("Any party may object to a magistrate judge's proposed findings, recommendations or report under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) . . . within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof."). After the Court's initial mailing to Coscia was returned by the U.S. Postal Service, the Report and Recommendation was re-sent to Coscia at an updated address on August 10, 2015. The later mailing was not returned to the Court, and, as of today's date, no objections have been filed.